

## **CURRICULUM COMMITTEE AGENDA – MEETING NO. 17**

***Monday, March 7, 2016***

***12 p.m. – 1 p.m.***

***ESTC 107 & Yreka Room 5***

**Present:** Neil Carpentier-Alting, Dave Clarke, Michael Graves, Sunny Greene, Jon Michael Patterson, Valerie Roberts, Charlie Roche, Todd Scott, Nancy Shepard, Chris Vancil, Patrice Thatcher, and Cheryl Rosen

### **Consent Agenda**

**Item 1. Approval of Minutes** – March 2, 2016  
Graves/Greene moved & seconded. Minutes are approved.

### **Regular Agenda**

**Item 2. Program Review Committee Members**

Dr. Scott presented a list of concerns about CurricUNET from the Program Review Committee requesting that the Curriculum Committee look at it and see if there are any additions before he sends it on. Suggestions included:

Nancy Shepard noted that Title V wording changes had not been made as requested.

During Program Review minor changes need to go back to originator and stay at same level. Or have a conversation with the originator.

In addition, the committee reviewed the approval process making the following suggestions for changes.

- Dean can support or not support. Not support stops it. Comments only if not supported.
- Tech review- Approve or Changes Needed, forced comments (get rid of minor/major distinction)
- General Ed- Approved for GE and Not GE applicable.
- Articulation Officer-Reviewed with comment if applicable
- Distance Ed-TBD
- Coding- Reviewed & Changes Needed with comment if applicable
- Curriculum Committee-Review with comment if applicable
- Curriculum Chair-Approve or Disapprove or Changes Needed.
- VPI- Approve, Disapprove (Support or Not Support)
- Board

**Item 3. Good of the Order**

Review of the different levels of curriculum course review. Whichever step needs changes it will go back to originator.

It was suggested that reviewers including Distance Ed and Articulation be faculty members. Needs further discussion.

It was suggested that the administrative oversight (eg: coding) should come after the curriculum committee has approved the course. Further discussion is needed.

VP of SL needs to be changed to VPI

It was recommended that the VPI (or board designee) should be able to approve or disapprove and with disapproval include comments.

**Item 4. Adjournment 1:10**