

Guided Pathways – Pillar One

Meeting No. 1

Wednesday, September 23, 2020, 10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.

Zoom Mtg. No. – 99084511249

Present: Ron Slabbinck, Katie Elwood, Chris Vancil, Janice Gonzalez, Karen Chandler, Wenli Chang, Michele Korkowski, Liz Carlyle, Dawnie Slabaugh, Kevin Dalton, Nick Fabio, Michael Reetz, Nancy Miller

- I. Welcome to Pillar One Fall 2020! (Slabbinck and Elwood)
 - i. Meeting called to order at 10:05 a.m.
 - b. Goals for Pillar One
 - i. Program mapping, vision statement, and CSSEE survey
 - ii. Chris Vancil will reach out to Jayne and ask for the “tree” of meta-majors that we created last year in Pillar One meetings
 - c. What are we supposed to do?
- II. Vision Statement Draft (Elwood)
 - a. **Guided Pathways Vision (draft):** College of the Siskiyous will create a holistic, student-centered experience with streamlined pathways, optimal learning and lodging environments, tailored support services and clear institutional processes.
 - b. Feedback?
 - i. Pillar 3: “College of the Siskiyous will create a holistic, student centered experience with streamlined processes and pathways, optimal learning environments and tailored support services.”
 1. Feedback from our pillar group: Pillar 3 version was met with approval, agreed upon that “lodging” needs to be removed as it is too redundant; students may also not care about “institutional processes”
- III. Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CSSEE) – Summer Survey (Slabbinck)
 - a. Looking to develop a workgroup to help develop the survey. Nathan will be the point person on this. Would anyone like to volunteer for the workgroup?
 - i. **The Guided Pathways steering committee decided that rather than using the CSSEE we would like to create a personalized survey that will meet the specific needs of our students.**

1. Challenges: We would be unable to compare to other similar institutions. Data would be skewed due to COVID. Getting a large sample size. Perhaps house survey inside the Canvas shell? We will need to start working on the content and the delivery. We should also look at integrating SEM surveys into this 'locally grown' survey. Maybe we can include a single question in the survey regarding the 'meta major' question.
 2. We also would also like to consider other ways of gathering data (focus groups, round tables, etc.). While it is more difficult with COVID, we will get a more complete picture of the student experience. Ron would be willing to help craft these?
- ii. Does anyone have survey suggestions or question ideas for student feedback? Workgroup? Focus groups would really help gathering information. If there is a standardized survey that is going to all colleges, why are we not using it? If we are participating in something that is state or nationwide, the value of having something to compare would be more usable. Would we be able to get the same results with our small size? Would there be unanticipated bias in the general survey? Can we gather the information in a different way but using the questions or based on the questions? Perhaps we look at the existing survey, assess what it is trying to gather, see how that fits in our world, and add, subtract, or modify as needed? Can we use these instruments as our base and augment as needed?

IV. Program Mapping (Slabbinck and Elwood)

- a. Reflect on December FLEX Day activity, faculty mapped programs out
 - i. Share Sunny's Mapping Toolkit & the Recommended Courses by Term in Google Docs
- b. Tied to Accreditation, Curriculum, and Pillar One Goals
- c. Where do we want to go from here? Deadline?
 - i. Waiting on response, but suggested the following deadline:
 - ii. It was our goal to make a pathway that would cluster general courses, but not so specific
 - iii. This was the point of creating the meta-majors. Make sure that students have a variety of choices that allow for flexibility if they change directions

V. Other

- a. How do we make sure that our Pillar has representation
- b. Reach out to those who weren't here
- c. How can we engage those who aren't here
- d. If we can't make quorum and we keep moving forward, solicit input through outlook. That way we can still present what was developed, and everyone can still vote.

- e. People were despondent
- f. What kind of accountability was there last year for pillar leads? There is nothing from Pillar 1.
- g. Next meeting October 28, 10:00 a.m.

VI. Adjournment

- a. Meeting adjourned at 10:57 a.m.