<div align="center">

SLO Committee Agenda
Thursday, April 20th, 2021
12:00 pm to 1:00 pm
on Zoom
https://siskiyous-edu.zoom.us/j/94431146192

</div>

Discussion:

In preparation for our meeting with Rachael, on Tuesday, I thought I would send you an email with some of my thoughts. I would like to use our time with Rachael to discuss both immediate steps we need to take to better prepare us for the Fall as well as addressing the concerns the faculty expressed in our last senate meeting.

Notes:

Below is a list of questions from the faculty asked at our last senate meeting (notes taken by Liz!) For the sake of time, I think we should focus on the assessment and program elements and leave the catalogue concerns for another time.

eLumen SLO module and integration with Canvas Rachel Dwiggins-Beeler. Rachel presented to faculty on how to input competency data into SLO modules for courses, and how faculty can map SLOs with PLOs to make decisions for programs as part of the program review process.

- Rachel clarified that the SLO committee will make the decisions on process and how frequently we will be assessing. The process will happen in eLumen, but how we use it will be up the Committee. We will be integrating eLumen Assessment with Canvas, so we can assess an assignment in Canvas and it will bring that data over the eLumen for SLO assessment. Assessments can also be done directly in eLumen using a rubric.
- The program review module talks to Assessment and Curriculum area in eLumen, and data inputs will be used for this. Rexford clarified that Faculty will be consulted on the PR template in eLumen and piloted with a smaller group first. He will use questions from the existing template with adjustments to clarify working alongside Senate.
- Fernandez asked about cleaning up data in SLOs and PSLOs. Rachel stated everything should be clean before we begin, and to contact Nancy about inaccuracies. Korkowksi brought up concerns around losing work when it doesn't save. Work Flow tools will have items that need to be done before it can save. Important to check that. Craddock brought up concerns that faculty may not feel comfortable contacting Nancy as she is overwhelmed and therefore may not be getting questions answered before submitting programs with inaccurate data.

- The timeline to launch is this summer. Maria asked that we realistic about the timeline and not dismiss concerns of faculty. There is no support staff time. Val clarified that Char has brought in support staff to help out with program review. Meetings and trainings will happen for eLumen assessment. Debbie Goltz and Anne Marie Accord are helping with this.
- Katie clarified that programs are being pulled from COCI for the catalog. Faculty will get the chance to review. Catalog will come from eLumen or COCI? Korkowski had concerns on the catalog coming from eLumen as she has a lot of questions that haven't been answered. It would good to have a clear message to faculty on what we should do. Maria asked why we don't do the catalog as before, as the program area is a mess. This would buy us time to clean up eLumen. If we do this by May, we may make mistakes.
- Chris Vancil expressed concern that an outside vendor should not be driving our processes. We have semi-implemented a PR process but have not yet done an SLO process. Tischler questioned why we are working with eLumen when we've had issues.
- Val noted that Counselors are supposed to input GE Area but we only have one academic counselor and a DSPS counselor. She clarified that Nancy will pull from COCI for the catalog. Concerns were raised that courses that have been deactivated may not have reached COCI. Korkowski has a concern over faculty not getting to review before it goes to the catalog. Knudsen –whatever is in COCI has been approved and then comes back to the college, so it should be accurate.

Membership:

Neil Carpentier-Alting, Coordinator
Elizabeth Carlyle
Andrea Craddock
Ann Kline
Tyler Knudsen
Shirley Louie
Patrice Thatcher-Stevens
Wenli Chang